

TROY A. WOJCIEKOFSKY, P.E., LEED-AP CONSULTING ENGINEER 845 594-1529 woj12@optonline.net

August 3, 2021

# ENGINEER'S LETTER REPORT FOR DRAINAGE DESIGN FOR LOCUST TREE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES, LLC 80 AIRPORT DRIVE TOWN OF WAPPINGER, NEW YORK

The proposed stormwater management facilities have been designed to provide both water quality and quantity controls by detaining, treating, and releasing stormwater runoff at a rate equal to or less than that which existed prior to construction of improvements at the project site.

# 1.0 PROJECT SUMMARY

The project parcel is currently developed and contains a landscape business. The existing site improvements include a building and asphalt parking/loading areas. The proposed improvements include reconfiguration of the entrance drive and the addition of additional asphalt parking, landscaping and utility upgrades. The parcel is currently served by a private water supply well and an underground sanitary sewage disposal system. The project includes the abandonment of the on-site well after connection to the DCWWWA water main along the street.

The project will involve the removal of a small amount of existing pervious grass surfaces for the construction of new impervious surfaces related to the new parking. This will result in a net increase in impervious surfaces of approximately 3,800 SF. The project will require the implementation of erosion controls during construction to reduce the impacts of erosion and sedimentation and the installation of permanent stormwater management facilities to control the rate of discharge from the property.

The total disturbance for the project will be 0.49 acres. Therefore, coverage under the NYSDEC General SPDES Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Projects. However, stormwater management facilities have been planned to meet the requirements of the Town of Wappinger and will provide some level of stormwater quality treatment.

# 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

This section briefly describes existing and proposed hydrologic and hydraulic conditions at and around the project site as they relate to surface water management planning considerations. Subsequent sections contain a description of the manner in which site runoff will be managed to minimize effects on areas adjacent to the site.

# Location

The parcel proposed to be disturbed for this development project comprises approximately 2.47

acres of land on the east side of Airport Drive. The improvements are proposed at 80 Airport Drive, on Tax Parcel 6259-04-679493. The land in the area surrounding the site consists of mixed uses of residential, commercial and recreational.

The site consists of one watershed that contributes to the Off-Site Discharge Point (ODP) identified as the road side ditch along Airport Drive.

# **Topography**

The property generally slopes from east to west from the back of the property towards the street. The area around the building including the parking areas and truck maneuvering areas have slopes less than 5%. Drainage at the back of the building is collected by catch basins and transmitted by closed pipe to the street drainage ditch on the north end. Runoff on the south side of the building sheet flows down the access drive to the drainage ditch along the street. Runoff from the front of the building sheet flows to the grass slope down to the road side ditch. Slopes are mostly less than 10% across the site with the exception of the grass slope along the road.

# Land Cover

The proposed project is on a previously-developed property, consisting of land covers of the building, asphalt parking and wooded/lawn areas.

# <u>Soils</u>

According to maps from the National Cooperative Soil Survey for Dutchess County, the on-site soils within the project area are classified into the following mapping unit(s):

# Pittstown silt loam (PwB), 3 to 8 percent slopes

This soil is characterized as silt loam and channery silt loam to a depth of 80 inches. Depth to groundwater and densic material may be at 30 inches. The hydrologic soil group is C and is characterized with moderate infiltration rates. The depth to these restrictive features must be verified by an on-site test pit.

# Watercourses and Drainage Patterns

No streams are located on the property. The majority of the property drains from the back of the parcel north west towards the street. This drainage pattern will be continued with the proposed work.

# **Floodplains**

According to FEMA floodplain mapping, no floodplains are located on or adjacent to the property.

# 3.0 METHODOLOGY

The project will result in the disturbance of less than one (1) acre and therefore a SWPPP meeting the NYSDEC General SPDES Permit for Discharges from Construction Activities is not required.

However, the Town requires the management of stormwater from construction projects to not cause flooding of adjacent properties and to not impact the capacity of receiving waters and infrastructure.

Although the project is not required to treat runoff for water quality, water quality treatment will be provided for the net increase in impervious pavement. Maintaining water quality involves the removal or reduction of pollutants including suspended solids, phosphates, nitrates and other chemicals generated by development.

# Rainfall Data

Rainfall data utilized in the modeling and analysis was taken from the NYSDEC Stormwater Design Manual:

Storm Event	90% Rainfall Event*	1-yr	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Precipitation <sub>24-hr</sub> P <sub>n-yr</sub> (inches)	1.4	2.7	-	-	4.9	-	-	9.0

Table 1 - Precipitation Values

# Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analysis

The peak rate of stormwater runoff generated from the proposed improvements during the design storms was calculated to determine the required storage volume of the dry detention basin. The time of concentration (Tc)and runoff curve numbers (CN) were then calculated for each watershed area. A minimum Tc of 0.1 hour was selected due to the small area of the watershed. This data was then entered into the *HydroCAD* computer program for analysis. *HydroCAD*, a Computer-Aided-Design (CAD) program, was used to analyze the hydrologic and hydraulic characteristics of a given watershed and associated stormwater management facilities. It utilizes the latest techniques to predict the consequences of any given storm. *HydroCAD* has the capability of computing hydrographs (which represents discharge rates characteristic of specified watershed conditions, precipitation, and geologic factors) combining hydrographs and routing flows though pipes, streams and ponds. HydroCAD is used to calculate peak runoff flows and to create hydrographs for the various storm events evaluated for both pre-development and post development conditions.

# Watershed Description

# Existing (Pre-Development) Watershed Conditions

The study area consists of an area equal to the proposed net increase in impervious surfaces as a result of the project. The project property all drains to the road side swale along the front of the property. The front parking area, landscaped area along the property frontage, entrance drive and area on the south side of the building drain via sheet flow to the grass-lined ditch along the street. Areas to the rear and north side of the building are collected by catch basins and discharged to the road side swale via closed pipe. The overall study area is 3,800 sf. The area that will be converted to asphalt pavement by extending the existing parking lot along the front of the building is currently

lawn.

# Proposed (Post-Development) Watershed Conditions

The post-development drainage area will be modified by the proposed improvements by converting a lawn area to impervious surfaces related to the asphalt parking area expansion. The net increase in impervious area is 3,800 SF.

A stone infiltration trench will be installed along the edge of the front parking lot to collect sheet flow runoff from the parking lot. The infiltration trench will collect and percolate runoff up to its capacity. Runoff that exceeds the capacity will sheet flow from the trench onto the adjacent grass area that slopes down to the street swale. The area of the parking lot that drains to the infiltration trench is 9,200 SF. The increase in runoff as the result of the new pavement is minor and is 0.1 - 0.2cfs.

The subcatchment is shown in the Post-Development Watershed Figure.

# Proposed Water Quantity and Quality Controls

# Water Quantity

The following table summarize the stormwater management system performance and discharge point parameters as found in the engineering calculations attached. The storm chambers were modelled assuming an infiltration rate of 3 inches/hour.

Design Point Summary	Pre-	Post-	Units	Satisfied
Design Point 3	Development	Development	Onits	Sausticu
Contributing Watershed Area	3,800	3,800	SF	
		Peak		
		Discharge		
1-year event	0.1	0.2	cfs	$ $ $\checkmark$
10-year event	0.2	0.4	cfs	$\checkmark$
100-year event	0.6	0.8	cfs	$\checkmark$

# Water Quality

The water quality volume is directly related to the amount of impervious surface created at a site. The water quality volume (WQv) is designed to improve water quality by treating 90% of the average annual stormwater runoff volume.

Although water quality treatment is not required, the storm system will provide water quality treatment of runoff from the 3,800 sf increase in impervious surfaces. The stormwater management system is as follows:

# 1. <u>Stone Collection Trench</u>

The runoff from 9,200 sf of parking area will be collected by a stone infiltration trench off of the

edge of the front parking area. This parking area will not be curbed to allow the sheet flow of runoff to the grass area down to the road swale. Collected runoff up to the capacity of the trench will infiltrate into the ground. Runoff that exceeds the trench's capacity will sheet flow onto the adjacent grass area down to the street swale.

The proposed construction will not increase the developed peak discharge rates from the site and will treat the water quality volume from a portion of the impervious areas. The proposed stormwater management system meets the requirements of the Town of Wappinger.

Sincerely,



Troy A. Wojciekofsky, P. E., LEED-AP Engineer Attachments: Soils Information HydroCAD Report Watershed Figures



Soil Map—Dutchess County, New York (80 Airport Drive)

f Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)		
Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
Soil Map Unit Polygons	Stony Spot	1:24,000.
	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
Soll Map Unit lines	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can can misunderstanding of the detail of manulug and accuracy of
Soil Mon Unit Dointe	Other	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de
sial Point Features Blowmit	tures	scale.
Borrow Pit	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
Transporta Clay Spot	ation Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Closed Depression	Interstate Hichwavs	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate Svetem: Web Mercator (EDSC:3857)
Gravel Pit	US Routes	Mans from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mer
Gravelly Spot	Maior Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
Landfill	, Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such a Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
Lava Flow Backgroun	þr	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
Marsh or swamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified da
Mine or Quarry		ou ure versioni date(s) iisted below. Soil Surrow Aros - Dutebros Caunty Now York
Miscellaneous Water		Soli Survey Area: Dutchess County, New Tork Survey Area Data: Version 17, Jun 11, 2020
Perennial Water		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
Rock Outcrop		1:50,000 or larger.
Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Oct 7, 2013—F 2017
Sandy Spot		The orthonhoto or other hase man on which the soil lines w
Severely Eroded Spot		compiled and digitized probably differs from the background
Sinkhole		imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
Slide or Slip		-
Sodic Spot		



# Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Fr	Fredon silt loam	0.1	4.6%
PwB	Pittstown silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	2.5	94.8%
Su	Sun silt loam	0.0	0.6%
Totals for Area of Interest		2.6	100.0%



# **Dutchess County, New York**

# PwB—Pittstown silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9rhn Elevation: 0 to 1,120 feet Mean annual precipitation: 41 to 47 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 115 to 195 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Pittstown and similar soils: 80 percent Minor components: 20 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Pittstown**

#### Setting

Landform: Drumlinoid ridges, hills, till plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Loamy till

#### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: silt loam

- H2 8 to 22 inches: silt loam
- H3 22 to 80 inches: channery silt loam

# **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 3 to 8 percent Depth to restrictive feature: 15 to 30 inches to densic material Drainage class: Moderately well drained Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.57 in/hr) Depth to water table: About 18 to 36 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Available water capacity: Low (about 4.0 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F144AY037MA - Moist Dense Till Uplands Hydric soil rating: No

USDA

#### **Minor Components**

#### Bernardston

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Punsit

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

### Georgia

Percent of map unit: 4 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Unnamed soils, fine-loamy

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Sun

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Canandaigua

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

# Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Dutchess County, New York Survey Area Data: Version 17, Jun 11, 2020



LOCUST 20210803	Type III 24-hr
Prepared by TW Engineering, P.C.	
HvdroCAD® 7.00 s/n 002485 © 1986-2003 Applied Microcomputer System	ms

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1 Post: Increase in Impervious	Runoff Area=9,200 sf Runoff Depth=2.31"
	Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.54 cfs 0.041 af
Subcatchment 1 Post A: Increase in Pavement	Runoff Area=3,800 sf Runoff Depth=2.31"
	Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.22 cfs 0.017 af
Subcatchment 1 Pre: Study Area	Runoff Area=3,800 sf Runoff Depth=0.65"
-	Tc=6.0 min CN=74 Runoff=0.07 cfs 0.005 af
Pond 1P: Underground Infiltration Peak	Elev=174.11' Storage=308 cf Inflow=0.54 cfs 0.041 af
Discarded=0.02 cfs 0.024 af	Primary=0.52 cfs 0.015 af Outflow=0.54 cfs 0.039 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.386 ac Runoff Volume = 0.062 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.94"

# Subcatchment 1 Post: Increase in Impervious

Runoff = 0.54 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.041 af, Depth= 2.31"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-YR Rainfall=2.70"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	9,200	98	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft	velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0					Direct Entry,

# Subcatchment 1 Post: Increase in Impervious



# Subcatchment 1 Post A: Increase in Pavement

Runoff = 0.22 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.017 af, Depth= 2.31"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-YR Rainfall=2.70"

Area (sf)	CN	Description		
3,800	98	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers
Tc Length (min) (feet)	Slope (ft/ft	e Velocity ) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0				Direct Entry,

# Subcatchment 1 Post A: Increase in Pavement





Inflow Depth = 2.31"	for 1-YR event	
0 12.09 hrs, Volume=	0.041 af	
0 12.09 hrs, Volume=	0.039 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.1 min
0 10.35 hrs, Volume=	0.024 af	
2 12.09 hrs, Volume=	0.015 af	
	Inflow Depth = 2.31" 12.09 hrs, Volume= 12.09 hrs, Volume= 10.35 hrs, Volume= 12.09 hrs, Volume=	Inflow Depth = 2.31" for 1-YR event   12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.041 af   12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.039 af,   10.35 hrs, Volume= 0.024 af   12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.015 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 174.11' @ 12.09 hrs Surf.Area= 350 sf Storage= 308 cf Plug-Flow detention time= 76.5 min calculated for 0.039 af (95% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 57.8 min (798.2 - 740.4)

#	Invert	Avail.S	torage Storage E	Description	
1	171.50'		308 cf <b>Custom S</b> 770 cf Ov	Stage Data (Prism verall x 40.0% Voi	<b>atic)</b> Listed below ds
Elev	ation	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
(	(feet)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
17	71.50	0	0	0	
17	172.10 350		105	105	
17	74.00	350	665	770	
1 2	Routing Discarded Primary	Invert 0.00' 174.00'	Outlet Devices     0.004170 fpm Ex     6.0' long x 5.0' b     Head (feet) 0.20     3.00 3.50 4.00 4     Coef. (English) 2     2.66 2.68 2.70 2	filtration over ent preadth Broad-Cre 0.40 0.60 0.80 4.50 5.00 5.50 2.34 2.50 2.70 2.6 2.74 2.79 2.88	ire Surface area sted Rectangular Weir 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 68 2.68 2.66 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.67

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.02 cfs @ 10.35 hrs HW=172.10' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.02 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.50 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=174.11' (Free Discharge) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 0.50 cfs @ 0.8 fps)



LOCUST 20210803	Type III 24-hr 10
Prepared by TW Engineering, P.C.	
HydroCAD® 7.00 s/n 002485 © 1986-2003 Applied Microcomputer System	ems

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1 Post: Increase in Impervious	Runoff Area=9,200 sf Runoff Depth=4.33"
	1 C=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.99 cfs 0.076 af
Subcatchment 1 Post A: Increase in Pavement	Runoff Area=3,800 sf Runoff Depth=4.33"
	Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.41 cfs 0.031 af
Subcatchment 1 Pre: Study Area	Runoff Area=3,800 sf Runoff Depth=2.12"
-	Tc=6.0 min CN=74 Runoff=0.23 cfs 0.015 af
Pond 1P: Underground Infiltration Peak	Elev=174.17' Storage=308 cf Inflow=0.99 cfs 0.076 af
Discarded=0.02 cfs 0.027 af	Primary=0.94 cfs 0.044 af Outflow=0.97 cfs 0.071 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.386 ac Runoff Volume = 0.123 af Average Runoff Depth = 3.83"



Time (hours)

# Subcatchment 1 Post A: Increase in Pavement

Runoff = 0.41 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.031 af, Depth= 4.33"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-YR Rainfall=4.90"

Area (sf)	CN	Description		
3,800	98	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers
Tc Length (min) (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity ) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0				Direct Entry,

# Subcatchment 1 Post A: Increase in Pavement





epth = 4.33" for 10	-YR event
hrs, Volume=	0.076 af
hrs, Volume=	0.071 af, Atten= 2%, Lag= 0.0 min
hrs, Volume=	0.027 af
hrs, Volume=	0.044 af
	epth = 4.33" for 10 hrs, Volume= hrs, Volume= hrs, Volume= hrs, Volume=

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 174.17' @ 12.08 hrs Surf.Area= 350 sf Storage= 308 cf Plug-Flow detention time= 50.3 min calculated for 0.071 af (93% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 25.3 min (760.6 - 735.2)

#	Invert	Avail.S	torage Storage D	Description	
1	171.50'		308 cf <b>Custom S</b> 770 cf Ov	Stage Data (Prism /erall x 40.0% Voi	<b>atic)</b> Listed below ds
Elev (	ation (feet)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
17	71.50	0	0	0	
17	/2.10	350	105	105	
17	74.00	350	665	770	
1 2	Routing Discarded Primary	Invert 0.00' 174.00'	Outlet Devices     0.004170 fpm Ext     6.0' long x 5.0' b     Head (feet) 0.20     3.00 3.50 4.00 4     Coef. (English) 2     2.66 2.68 2.70 2	filtration over ent readth Broad-Cre 0.40 0.60 0.80 4.50 5.00 5.50 34 2.50 2.70 2.0 2.74 2.79 2.88	ire Surface area ested Rectangular Weir 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 68 2.68 2.66 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.67

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.02 cfs @ 8.40 hrs HW=172.10' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.02 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.92 cfs @ 12.08 hrs HW=174.16' (Free Discharge) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 0.92 cfs @ 0.9 fps)



LOCUST 20210803	Type III 24-hr 100-YR	Rainfall=9.00"
Prepared by TW Engineering, P.C.		Page 14
HydroCAD® 7.00 s/n 002485 © 1986-2003 Applied Microcomputer Systematics	stems	8/3/2021

Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1 Post: Increase in Impervious	Runoff Area=9,200 sf Runoff Depth=8.05"
	Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.82 cfs 0.142 af
Subcatchment 1 Post A: Increase in Pavement	Runoff Area=3,800 sf Runoff Depth=8.05"
	Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.75 cfs 0.059 af
Subcatchment 1 Pre: Study Area	Runoff Area=3,800 sf Runoff Depth=5.47"
	Tc=6.0 min CN=74 Runoff=0.58 cfs 0.040 af
Pond 1P: Underground Infiltration Peak	Elev=174.25' Storage=308 cf Inflow=1.82 cfs 0.142 af
Discarded=0.02 cfs 0.029 af	Primary=1.76 cfs 0.105 af Outflow=1.79 cfs 0.135 af

Total Runoff Area = 0.386 ac Runoff Volume = 0.240 af Average Runoff Depth = 7.47"





# Subcatchment 1 Post A: Increase in Pavement

Runoff = 0.75 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.059 af, Depth= 8.05"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-YR Rainfall=9.00"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	3,800	98	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft	e Velocity ) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0					Direct Entry,

# Subcatchment 1 Post A: Increase in Pavement





Inflow Area	a =	0.211 ac, li	nflow Depth :	= 8.05" f	or 100-YR ever	nt	
Inflow	=	1.82 cfs @	12.09 hrs, \	/olume=	0.142 af		
Outflow	=	1.79 cfs @	12.08 hrs, \	/olume=	0.135 af,	Atten= 2%, Lag= 0.0 min	
Discarded	=	0.02 cfs @	6.05 hrs, \	/olume=	0.029 af	-	
Primary	=	1.76 cfs @	12.08 hrs, \	/olume=	0.105 af		
Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs							

Peak Elev= 174.25' @ 12.08 hrs Surf.Area= 350 sf Storage= 308 cf Plug-Flow detention time= 33.5 min calculated for 0.134 af (95% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 13.8 min (746.6 - 732.7)

#	Invert	Avail.S	torage Storage D	escription	
1	171.50'		308 cf Custom S 770 cf Ov	t <b>age Data (Prismatic)</b> Lis erall x 40.0% Voids	sted below
Elev	ation	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
(	(feet)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
17	71.50	0	0	0	
17	2.10	350	105	105	
17	74.00	350	665	770	
1 2	Routing Discarded Primary	Invert 0.00' 174.00'	Outlet Devices     0.004170 fpm Ext     6.0' long x 5.0' b     Head (feet) 0.20     3.00 3.50 4.00 4     Coef. (English) 2     2.66 2.68 2.70 2	iltration over entire Surf readth Broad-Crested Re 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.1 .50 5.00 5.50 34 2.50 2.70 2.68 2.68 2.74 2.79 2.88	ace area ectangular Weir 20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 3 2.66 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.67

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.02 cfs @ 6.05 hrs HW=172.10' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.02 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=1.72 cfs @ 12.08 hrs HW=174.24' (Free Discharge) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 1.72 cfs @ 1.2 fps)





No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
		REVISIONS	

